

असाधारण

EXTRAORDINARY

भाग II — खण्ड 3 — उप-खण्ड (i)
PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (i)
प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ਸੰ. 173]

नई दिल्ली, मंगलवार, अप्रैल 6, 2010/चैत्र 16, 1932

No. 1731

NEW DELHI, TUESDAY, APRIL 6, 2010/CHAITRA 16, 1932

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 5th April, 2010

G.S.R. 288(E).—1. Under Rule 5(i) and (ii) of Order IV, Supreme Court Rules, 1966 (as amended) and Regulation (2) of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination made thereunder governing the Examination for Advocates-on-Record, it is hereby notified for the information of all concerned that the next Examination for the Advocates-on-Record will be held in the Supreme Court Premises, New Delhi on 14th, 15th,16th and 17th June, 2010.

- 2. All Advocates who will be completing one year's continuous training on or before 30th April, 2010 are eligible to appear for the aforesaid examination.
- 3. Applications should reach the Secretary, Board of Examiners, by 4th May, 2010. The application forms may be obtained from the office of Secretary on any working day during office hours. No application shall be accepted after 4th May, 2010.
- 4. Acceptance of the application is subject to production of requisite certificate relating to training from an Advocate-on-Record under Regulation 6 of the Regulations regarding Advocates-on-Record Examination.
- 5. A list of Leading Cases with regard to Paper-IV reading as 'Leading Cases' is appended to this Notification as Annexure 'A'.

- No application/representation for re-evaluation as well as for checking of totalling of marks shall be entertained.
- 7. In ensuing examinations those who are given roll numbers and who absent themselves in examination without informing sufficient reasons in writing will be treated as not sufficiently prepared and will be dealt with under Regulation 5 (b) without giving further opportunity and time may be prescribed within which they shall not present themselves again for examination without prior permission of Chairman of Board of Examiners.
- 8. The candidates are further informed that the Committee of Hon'ble Judges of the Examination Committee on the recommendation of the Board of Examiners have decided that a candidate who fails in all the papers of Advocate-on-Record Examination held in June 2009 shall not be permitted to appear in the ensuing examination. It was further decided that a candidate may be allowed five chances to appear at the examination, and those who do not qualify in the desired five chances given, they shall not be permitted to appear in any further examination.

[F. No. AOR Exam./June/2010]

M. K. GUPTA, Registrar and Secy. Board of Examiners

Annexure A

13.12.05

REVISED LIST OF LEADING CASES

- The State of West Bengal vs. Anwar Ali Sarkar (1952) SCR 284
- The Commissioner, Hindu Religious Endowments, Madras vs. Sri Lakshmindra Tirtha Swamiar of Sri Shirur Mutt
 (1954) SCR 1005
- 3A. In Re: The Kerala Education Bill, 1957.
 Reference under Article 143 (1) of the Constitution of India
 (1959) SCR 995
- 3B. TMA Pai Foundation vs. State of Karnataka (2002) Suppl. 3 SCR 587
- 3C. PA Inamdar vs. State of Maharashtra (2005) 6 SCC 537
- 4A. Pandit M.S.M. Sharma vs. Shree Krishna Sinha & Ors.(1959) Suppl. 1 SCR 806.
- 4B. People's Union For Civil Liberties (PUCL) & Anr. vs. Union of India & Anr.(2003) 2 SCR 1136
 - 5. The Automobile Transport (Rajasthan) Ltd. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors. (and connected appeals) 1963 (1) SCR 491
 - State Trading Corporation of India vs. The Commercial Tax Officer Visakhapatnam (1964) 4 SCR 99
- 7. In Re: Keshav Singh (Special Reference No.1 of 1964)

(1965) 1 SCR 413

- Naresh Shridhar Mirajkar vs. State of Maharashtra (1966)3 SCR 744
- 9. Golaknath vs. State of Punjab (1967) 2 SCR 762
- Rustom Cowasjee Cooper vs. Union of India (1970) 3 SCR 530
- 11. H.H. Maharajadhiraja Madhav Rao Jiwaji Rao vs. Union of India (1971) 3 SCR 9
- 12A. Keshavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala (1973) Suppl. SCR I

- 12B. S.R. Bommai vs. Union of India (1994) 2 SCR 644
- 12C. L. Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India (1997) 2 SCR 1186
- 12D. Indira Gandhi vs. Raj Narain (1976) 2 SCR 347
- 12E. Minerva Mills vs. Union of India (1981) 1 SCR 206
- 12F. Waman Rao vs. Union of India (1981) 2 SCR 1
- 13. Samsher Singh vs. State of Punjab (1975) I SCR 814
- ADM Jabalpur vs. S. Shukla
 (1976) Suppl. SCR 172
- 15. R.S. Joshi vs. Ajit Mills (1978) 1 SCR 338
- Trustees for the Improvement of Calcutta vs. Chandrasekhar Mallick
 (1978) I SCR 136
- Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board vs. A. Rajappa
 (1978) 3 SCR 207
- Madan Mohan Pathak vs. Union of India (1978) 3 SCR 334
- State of Karanataka vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 1
- 20. Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India (1978) 2 SCR 621
- In Re: The Special Courts Bill, 1978
 (1979) 2 SCR 476
- 22. S.P. Gupta vs. Union of India (1982) 2 SCR 365
- 23. M C Mehta & Another vs. Union of India & Ors. (1987) 1 SCR 819
- 24A. A.R. Antulay vs. R.S. Nayak (1988) Suppl. 1 SCR 1
- 24B. Rupa Ashok Hurra vs. Ashok Hurra & Anr. (2002) 2 SCR 1006
- Synthetics & Chemicals Ltd., vs. State of U.P. (1989) Suppl. I SCR 623

- 26. Indira Sawhney vs. Union of India (1992) Suppl. 2 SCR 454
- Supreme Court Advocates-on-Record Asso. vs. Union of India (1993) Suppl. 2 SCR 659
- 28. Mafatlal Industries vs. Union of India (1996) Suppl. SCR 585
- New Delhi Municipal Council vs. State of Punjab etc. etc.
 (1996) Suppl. lo SCR 472
- Vishaka & Ors. vs. State of Rajasthan & Ors.
 (1997) Suppl. 3 SCR 404
- 31. Supreme Court Bar Association vs. Union of India (1998) 2 SCR 795
- P.V. Narasimha Rao etc. etc. vs. State (CBI/SPE) etc. etc.
 (1998) 2 SCR 870
- Special Reference No. 1 of 1998
 (1998) Suppl. 2 SCR 400
- Dr. Preeti Srivastava & Anr. etc. etc. vs. The State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors. (1999) Suppl. 1 SCR 249
- 35. State of Maharashtra vs. Milind & Ors. (2000) Suppl. 5 SCR 65
- E.S. Rajaram & Ors. vs. Union of India & Ors.
 (2001) 1 SCR 203
- M/s. Somaiya Organics (India) Ltd. vs. State of U.P. & Anr.
 (2001) 3 SCR 33.

- B.R. Kapur vs. State of Tamil Nadu & Anr.
 (2001) Suppl. 3 SCR 191
- In Re: Arundhati Roy Contemnor
 (2002) 2 SCR 213
- 40. P. Rama Chandra Rao vs. State of Karnataka (2002) 3 SCR 60
- Pradeep Kumar Biswas & Ors. vs. Indian Institute of Chemical Biology & Ors.
 (2002) 3 SCR 100
- 42. Special Reference No.1 of 2002 (2002) Suppl. 3 SCR 366
- The State of West Bengal & Ors. vs. Kesoram Industries Ltd. & Ors.
 (2004) I SCR 564
- Standard Chartered Bank & Ors. vs. Directorate of Enforcement & Ors.
 (2005)4 SCC 530
- State of Gujarat vs. Mirzapur Moti Kureshi Kassab Jamat & Ors.
 (2005) 8 SCC 534
- S.B.P. & Co. vs. Patel Engineering Ltd. &.Anr. (2005) 8 SCC 618

Note (for item Nos.12E & 12F): The correctness of these judgments have been referred to a larger Bench preferably or nine Judges in I.R. Coelho (Dead) by LRs etc. vs. State of Tamil Nadu etc. (1999) Suppl. 2 SCR 394.

Note (for Item No. 17): The correctness of the Interpretation of the word "industry" in this case stands referred to a larger Banch in State of U.P. vs. Jai Bir Singh (2005) 5 SCC 1.